

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONTINUES OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CORPORA

2011 FEB 18 A 10: 12

AZ COEZ COMPLISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

FEB 1 8 2011

**DOCKETED BY** 

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE - Chairman **BOB STUMP** SANDRA D. KENNEDY

PAUL NEWMAN **BRENDA BURNS** 

IN THE MATTER OF:

FIVE STAR CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

JAMES PICCOLO and MARY ELIZABETH PICCOLO, husband and wife,

CRAIG COTTRELL, CRD# 4552833, and, ANDREA COTTRELL, husband and wife,

CHARLEVOIX HOMES, LLC, an Arizona 12 limited liability company,

13 and

MICHAEL ROBERTS, an unmarried man,

RESPONDENTS.

PROCEDURAL ORDER (Vacates Hearing)

DOCKET NO. S-20755A-10-0342

## BY THE COMMISSION:

On August 17, 2010, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Five Star Capital Markets, LLC ("FSCM"), James Piccolo and Mary Elizabeth Piccolo, husband and wife, Craig Cottrell and Andrea Cottrell, husband and wife, Charlevoix Homes, LLC ('Charlevoix) and Michael Roberts, an unmarried man (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of notes and/or investment contracts.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

On August 25, 2010, a request for hearing was filed by Respondents, FSCM, James and Mary Elizabeth Piccolo, and Craig and Andrea Cottrell.

On August 27, 2010, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing was scheduled on September 30, 2010.

1 2

On August 30, 2010, a request for hearing was filed by Respondent Michael Roberts.

On September 30, 2010, at the pre-hearing conference, the Division and the Piccolo and Cottrell Respondents appeared through counsel. Respondent Roberts appeared on his own behalf. The Respondents were discussing a possible settlement of the proceeding with the Division. In the interim, the Division requested that a hearing be scheduled on the allegations contained in the Notice.

On September 30, 2010, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled to commence on January 24, 2011, and other procedural matters addressed.

On December 22, 2010, the Division filed a Motion requesting an extension of time for the parties to exchange their documentation from December 23, 2010, to January 13, 2011. The Division indicated that the Respondents are in agreement with its Motion.

On January 5, 2011, by Procedural Order, an extension of time to exchange copies of documentation was granted until January 13, 2011.

On January 13, 2011, the Division filed a Motion requesting an extension of time for the parties to exchange their documentation from January 13, 2011, to January 20, 2011. The Division indicated that the Respondents were in agreement with its Motion, and were attempting to reach a resolution of the proceeding. Subsequently, by Procedural Order, the parties were granted until January 20, 2011 to exchange their documentation.

On January 21, 2011, the Division filed a Motion to Continue the Hearing indicating that the parties had reached a settlement, and that proposed Consent Orders would be submitted for Commission approval at its February 17, 2011 Open Meeting. The Division requested that the proceeding be continued until after the February 17, 2011, Open Meeting in the event that the Commission did not approve the proposed Consent Orders. The Division further requested that the date for the exchange of documentation also be continued. Subsequently, by Procedural Order, the hearing was continued as was the date for the exchange of copies of Exhibits and Witness Lists pending approval of the Consent Orders.

On February 17, 2011, the Division filed a Motion to Vacate the hearing. The Division indicated that all issues in the proceeding had been resolved by the Commission's approval of

1	
1	Consent Orders with respect to all Respondents at the Commission's Open meeting February 17,
2	2011.
3	Accordingly, the hearing in this case should be vacated.
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the hearing scheduled on March 21, 2011, is hereby
5	vacated.
6	DATED this day of January, 2011.
7	
8	
9	Manashu
10	MARC E. STERN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
11	
12	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this/\$\frac{1}{2}\$ day of January, 2011 to:
13	Jeffrey C. Matura
14	GRAIF BARRETT & MATURA, P.C. 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 500
15	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorney for Respondents Five Star
16	Capital Markets, LLC, James Piccolo, Mary Elizabeth Piccolo, Craig Cottrell,
17	and Andrea Cottrell
18	Michael Roberts 8711 East Pinnacle Peak Road, #213
19	Scottsdale, AZ 85525
20	Matt Neubert, Director Securities Division
21	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1300 West Washington Street
22	Phoenix, AZ 85007
23	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502
24	Phoenix, AZ 85004
25	By: Debra Broyles
26	Secretary do Marc E. Stern
27	